

MHHS Migration and Cutover Advisory Group (MCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 12/02/2026

Meeting number	MCAG 022	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams
Date and time	12 February 2026 1000-1200	Classification	Public

Actions:

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
Migration Exclusion List	MCAG20-01	DCC to confirm when they will provide updates to suppliers on SMETS1 reconfiguration progress and visibility of affected devices.	DCC	27/01/26	RECOMMEND CLOSED: On the 12 th February, the Programme confirmed that the DCC had distributed an update on the SMETS1 reconfiguration progress to all relevant suppliers.

Decisions

Area	Decision Ref	Description	Rationale
Headline Report and Actions	MCAG-DEC53	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 18 December 2025.	The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.
	MCAG-DEC54	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous extraordinary meeting on 29 January 2026.	The Programme invited objections to the approval of the document, to which none were received.
ELS Exit	MCAG-DEC55	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the entry into ELS Phase 3.	There were no objections or questions from MCAG members.

Key Discussion Items

Headline Report and Actions	<p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 18 December 2025 (MCAG-DEC53 - recording timestamp 08:36).</p> <p>DECISION: The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved the headline report of the previous meeting on 29 January 2026 (MCAG-DEC54 - recording timestamp 08:36).</p> <p>The Programme provided an update to the open action MCAG20-01. The Programme confirmed that MCAG20-01 can be closed as the DCC on 11 February, had distributed information relating to the SMETS1 reconfiguration progress to all relevant suppliers.</p> <p>ACTION CLOSED</p>
ELS Exit	<p>Programme View</p> <p>The Programme noted that all feedback gathered through engagement with early migrating participants has been consolidated into nine formal recommendations across Service Management, DIP release management and Elexon communications.</p> <p>Updated wording for two of these recommendations (#4 and #6) was agreed at TORWG following industry input. TORWG members reconfirmed on 11 February 2026 that the updated recommendations accurately reflected industry views, with no objections raised to the proposed basis for each recommendation.</p> <p>Elexon confirmed its acceptance of all recommendations presented by the Programme at TORWG and did so again at MCAG, presenting associated actions plans, setting out delivery owners, target dates and the governance routes through which progress will be monitored, as per meeting slides.</p> <p>Based on the progress of ELS including meeting the migration criteria; the results of the II Settlement Run, the Peak-of-Peaks test, and the recommendation by TORWG on 11 February, the Programme recommended an exit from Early Life Support.</p> <p>The Programme recommendation included the acceptance by the Elexon Service Management team and the DIP Manager of series of Lessons Learned and Recommendations from ELS, all of which were presented at TORWG on 04 and 11 February 2026.</p> <p>At TORWG, industry was invited to raise any final concerns regarding the Programme's intention to exit Early Life Support, but no parties indicated any objection. Therefore, the TORWG recommended exit out of ELS.</p> <p>IPA View</p> <p>The IPA supported the Programme exiting ELS on 12 February and welcomed the acceptance of all nine recommendations and emphasised the need for clear visibility, communication and tracking of these actions through existing industry forums. See slides for more detail.</p>

	<p>The IPA outlined that, at Ofgem's request, it will commence an independent review of service operations across the MHHS Target Operating Model. This review will assess whether further improvements are required beyond the recommendations already in place.</p> <p>The Chair asked for a rough timeline of this review. The IPA outlined that there will be four weeks of field work and a week of drafting the report. The IPA confirmed that all ongoing improvement activities across the Programme and Elexon should continue in parallel and any IPA recommendations will supplement the existing recommendations.</p> <p>DECISION</p> <p>The Meeting Chair asked MCAG members whether there are any objections to the exit of ELS. There were no comments or objections from MCAG members.</p> <p>MCAG Chair (with SRO delegated powers) approved the exit of ELS, with no objections raised by MCAG members. (MCAG DEC55 – recording Timestamp (24:28))</p>
Migration Execution	<p>Programme provided an update on Migration execution and Sprint 1 planning outcomes. Migration plans were received from 99.99% of MPANs. There is no material deviation between the migration plan baselined in January 2026 and the baseline agreed in October 2025. Over 3.2 million initiations have now taken place, equivalent to roughly 10% of all MPANs. January 2026 baseline indicates that 80.3% of all MPANs are expected to be migrated by M14 (October 2026), compared with 80.7% in the October 2025 baseline, representing a difference of approximately 140,000 MPANs. The most recent baseline indicates a general leftward shift in migration volumes, which is positive, although the change in volume is minimal.</p> <p>The Elexon Representative queried whether the updated baseline figures were reflective of the recent PPIR publication or if any parties would need to re-plan based on qualification, service action and publication dates. The Programme confirmed that analysis of these deltas is underway and noted that some parties may need to re-plan, but these are relatively small portfolios, so any potential changes to the migration profile would be minimal.</p> <p>The Programme also stated that some parties may bring forward their migration start dates, and any impacts on the overall profile will need to be assessed, once the PPIR responses have been analysed.</p>
Participant-Driven Migration Dependencies	<p>Programme provided an update on Participant-driven Migration dependencies, noting no substantive items requiring MCAG discussion at this stage. The first dependency has now moved to blue following the decision to exit Early Life Support and will be reflected as closed in future reporting.</p> <p>An updated dependency (D737) relating to LDSO migration performance was highlighted. The Programme is not currently concerned but is signalling early visibility of trends observed in recent weeks.</p> <p>The Programme advised that it intends to increase transparency where central party of LDSO performance may present a risk to migration progress. Engagement with the relevant parties is already underway, with no actions currently being sought from MCAG.</p> <p>The Programme does not currently anticipate this becoming an ongoing issue but will continue to monitor and report any material changes.</p>

	No further updates or questions were raised.
Migration Exclusion List	<p>Programme provided an update on the Migration Exclusion and Remediation Lists, as well as presenting the Migration Exclusion approach. The timeline of the PPIR on Troublesome MPANs remains on track with the PPIR and guidance document due to be published on 16 February. Drop-in sessions have been arranged to support parties in completing their returns.</p> <p>More detail on the PPIR aims, and the full Exclusion and Remediation Lists can be found in the meeting slides.</p> <p>The RECCo Representative raised a query regarding the “incorrect number of digits” exclusion category and whether this issue should remain part of the Migration Exclusion List ahead of the next PPIR release. The Programme confirmed that this matter would be reviewed in the MWG meeting later [12 February] and that the Programme is fully sighted on the issue.</p> <p>No further questions were raised.</p>
Programme Milestones	No comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.
Programme Risks	No comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.
Programme Updates	No comments or questions were raised by MCAG members.
Summary and Next Steps	The secretariat summarised the decisions and actions of the meeting.

Date of next MCAG: 24 February 2026

Attendees

Chair			
Justin Andrews	Chair	Warren Fulton	Migration Lead
		Matthew Breen	Transition Lead
Industry Representatives			
Andrew Green (replacing Gareth Evans)	I&C Supplier Representative	Hamdi Haashi	PMO Secretariat Support
David Yeoman	DNO Representative	Jason Brogden	Programme SME
Jonny Moore	RECCo Representative	Other Members/Attendees	

James Stokes	DIP Manager	Jenny Boothe	Ofgem
Lijo Louis	Medium Supplier Representative	Sinead Quinn	Ofgem
Simon Harrison	Callisto	Andy MacFaul	Ofgem
Michael Ceney	Elexon Representative (as BSC/BSCCo Manager)	Reece Harris	IPA
Mark Scott / Ian Giles (replacing Elexon Representative (as central systems provider) Sean Doughty)		Liam Evans	IPA
Morven Hunter	iDNO Representative	Joseph Ashworth	IPA
MHHS IM Members		Apologies	
Keith Clark	LDP Programme Manager	Mark Treanor	Small Supplier Representative
Nicola Farley	MCC Lead	Joe Grisley	MCC Delivery Project Manager
Stuart Scott	Industry SME	Joanne Glynne	Smart DCC Representative